

0091-3057(94)00246-0

# CCK<sub>A</sub>, But Not CCK<sub>B</sub>, Agonists Suppress the Hyperlocomotion Induced by Endogenous Enkephalins, Protected From Enzymatic Degradation by Systemic RB 101

# VALÉRIE DAUGE, ' PIERRE-JEAN CORRINGER AND BERNARD P. ROQUES

Unité de Pharmacochimie Moléculaire et Structurale, U266 INSERM-URA D1500 CNRS, Université René Descartes-UFR des Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques, 4, avenue de l'Observatoire-75270 Paris Cedex 06, France

Received 4 October 1993

DAUGE, V., P.-J. CORRINGER AND B. P. ROQUES.  $CCK_A$ , but not  $CCK_B$ , agonists suppress the hyperlocomotion induced by endogenous enkephalins, protected from enzymatic degradation by systemic RB 101. PHARMACOL BIOCHEM BEHAV 50(2) 133-139, 1995.—Interactions between CCKergic and enkephalinergic systems were studied in mice using behavioral responses measured in Animex. The hyperlocomotion induced by 5 mg/kg of RB 101, a mixed inhibitor of enkephalin-degrading enzymes able to cross the blood-brain barrier, was previously shown to be mediated by  $\delta$ -opioid receptor stimulation. The IP administration of a CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist, Boc-Tyr-Lys-(CONH-o-tolyl)-Asp-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub> (0.1, 1, 10 µg/ kg), suppressed the hyperlocomotion produced by IV injection of 5 mg/kg of RB 101. The effect of the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist was suppressed by a selective CCK<sub>A</sub> antagonist, devazepide, injected IP at doses of 20 and 200 µg/kg and was potentiated by the selective  $\delta$ -opioid antagonist naltrindole at the doses of 0.03 mg/kg. IP injection of the selective CCK<sub>B</sub> agonist BC 264 (0.1-1 mg/kg) did not modify the RB 101-induced hyperlocomotor effect. These results reinforce the observed physiological antagonism between the endogenous CCK and opioid systems but are at variance with the responses measured in stressful conditions. It is concluded that CCK<sub>A</sub>, but not CCK<sub>B</sub>, receptor activation counteracts the opioid-related hyperlocomotion.

 $CCK_A$  and  $CCK_B$  agonists Inhibitor of enkephalin degradation Locomotor activity Interactions between CCK and enkephalins Mice

THE OVERLAPPING distributions of opioid and cholecystokinin (CCK) peptides and of their receptors (19,40) in the central nervous system have led to a large number of studies aimed at clarifying the functional relationships between these two neuropeptides. Biochemical experiments have shown that if the stimulation of CCK receptors resulted in modulating the functioning of the opioidergic system, this system could in turn regulate the release of CCK peptides (3,31,37,41,51,52). Most of the pharmacological studies devoted to the role of CCK and enkephalins have been focused on the control of pain. Thus, a functional antagonism between CCKergic and opioidergic systems has been evidenced by using several behavioral responses evoked by painful thermal, chemical, or mechanical stimuli (16,28). Because these two endogenous peptides bind to several receptor subtypes (i.e.,  $\mu$ - and  $\delta$ opioid binding sites for enkephalins, CCK<sub>A</sub> and CCK<sub>B</sub> receptors for CCK<sub>8</sub>), recent studies investigated the relative contributions of these receptor subtypes (14,15,32). The most interesting results involved the demonstration of a tonic or phasic release of endogenous CCK modulating, through CCK<sub>B</sub> receptors, the nociceptive threshold by a negative control on  $\mu$ -opioid receptors at both spinal and supraspinal levels [(28) and ref. therein, (42)]. Thus, the role of CCK could be to reestablish the nociceptive threshold increased by endogenous opioids when the stimuli is no longer present or when a safety stimuli occurs (46). In contrast to these numerous experiments devoted to analgesia, few studies have been done to investigate the functional relationships between CCK and opioid peptides

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To whom requests for reprints should be addressed.

134

in behavioral responses. Nevertheless, adverse interactions between CCK<sub>8</sub> and morphine or  $\beta$ -endorphin have been observed to occur in feeding (47), catalepsy (23), body-shaking behavior (24), and in locomotor activity in hamsters (38) in conditioned suppression of motility test (13) and within a drug discrimination procedure (30). On the other hand, a potentiation by CCK<sub>8</sub> of morphine-mediated reduction of distress vocalization (45) and on schedule-controlled behavior in rats has been reported (48). In addition, the CCK<sub>A</sub> antagonist devazepide was shown to counteract the effects of morphine in the conditioned place preference test (20).

It is well known that exogenous and endogenous opioids play a critical role in the control of mood. In rodents, they produce self-stimulation, autoadministration, and an increase of locomotor activity [reviews in (35,36,49)]. On the other hand, peripheral and central administration of CCK<sub>8</sub> suppress locomotor activity in rodents (4,21,50). This effect has been proposed to originate in part from the periphery (8) and to occur by CCK<sub>A</sub> receptor stimulation (1,25). In both cases, the mesolimbic dopaminergic system was proposed to have a crucial role [reviews in (7,9,26)].

The present study was therefore performed to examine the effects of a CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist, Boc-Trp-Lys-(CONH-*o*-tolyl)-Asp-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub> (39), and a selective CCK<sub>B</sub> agonist, BC 264 (6), on the hyperlocomotion induced in mice by the endogenous opioid peptides (2). An increase in the extracellular level of endogenous enkephalins was obtained by administration of the mixed inhibitor of enkephalin-degrading enzymes, RB 101 {N-[(R,S)-2-benzyl-3](S)(2-amino-4-methylthio)butyl dithio]-1-oxopropyl]-L-phenylalanine benzyl ester} (18), which crosses the blood-brain barrier. The type of CCK receptors (CCK<sub>A</sub> or CCK<sub>B</sub>) involved in the effects of RB 101 was confirmed by the use of the selective CCK<sub>A</sub> antagonist, devazepide (5).

#### METHOD

#### Animals

# Male CD<sub>1</sub> albino mice (Charles River, France), weighing 20-24 g, were housed in groups of 20 in conditions of constant temperature ( $22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C) and humidity ( $50 \pm 5\%$ ). Food and water were available ad lib.

#### **Apparatus**

The apparatus consisted of a rodent housing cage placed on the floor of an Animex Activity Meter type S (LKB Farad, Sweden), in which an electromagnetic field is disturbed, resulting in an impulse when the activity of the animals exceeds a preset level. The experiments were performed in a quiet and dimly illuminated room (50 lx).

#### Procedure

The mice were placed in the experimental room 1 day before testing. The experiments were performed between 1200 and 1900 h. Counts for motor activity were registered each 5 min during a 15-min period, except for Experiment 1 in which counts were registered during a 10-min period. The animals were used only once.

*Experiment 1.* Thirty-seven mice were used in the experiment with the  $CCK_A$  agonist. Four groups of 9-10 mice were injected IP with saline or the  $CCK_A$  agonist (0.001, 0.01, 0.1 mg/kg) 30 min before the 10-min Animex test. Each dose of

the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist and saline was tested each day. Seventy mice were studied in the experiment with BC 264. Seven groups of 10 mice were injected IP with saline or BC 264 (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0 mg/kg) 30 min before the 10-min Animex test. Each dose of BC 264 and saline was tested each day.

Experiment 2. Eighty-four mice were used in the study with the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist and RB 101. Twelve groups of seven mice were first injected IP with saline or the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist (0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10  $\mu$ g/kg) 25 min before injection IV of vehicle or RB 101 (5 mg/kg) and then placed on the Animex 5 min later. Each dose of CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist, RB 101 and controls was tested each day.

*Experiment 3.* One hundred and eight mice were used in the experiment with devazepide (200  $\mu$ g/kg). Eight groups of 12-14 mice were first injected IP with 0.5% of carboxymethylcellulose CMC (0.5%) or devazepide (200  $\mu$ g/kg) 10 min before saline or the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist injected IP at a dose of 1  $\mu$ g/kg. RB 101 (5 mg/kg, IV) or vehicle was administered 25 min after the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist. Mice were placed on the Animex 5 min later. Each compound and vehicle was tested each day. Sixty mice were used in the experiment with devazepide (20  $\mu$ g/kg). Eight groups of 7-9 mice were IP injected with CMC (0.5%) or devazepide (20  $\mu$ g/kg) 10 min before saline or the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist injected IP at a dose of 1  $\mu$ g/kg. RB 101 (5 mg/ kg, IV) or vehicle was administered 25 min after the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist. Mice were placed on the Animex 5 min later. Each compound and vehicle was tested each day.

*Experiment 4.* Ninety-five mice were used in the experiment with naltrindole and the  $CCK_A$  agonist. Ten groups of 8-12 mice were first injected IP with saline or the  $CCK_A$  agonist (1, 10, 100  $\mu$ g/kg) 10 min before saline or naltrindole administered SC at a dose of 0.1 or 1 mg/kg. Mice were placed on the Animex 20 min later. Each compound and vehicle was tested each day.

Experiment 5. Seventy mice were used in the experiment with RB 101, naltrindole, and the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist. Eight groups of 8-10 mice were first injected IP with saline or the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist (0.1  $\mu$ g/kg) 10 min before saline or naltrindole administered SC at a dose of 0.03 mg/kg. Twenty-five minutes after CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist, mice received RB 101 at the dose of 5 mg/kg or vehicle IV and were placed on the Animex 5 min later. Each compound and controls were tested each day.

*Experiment 6.* Thirty-six mice were used for the BC 264 experiment. Six groups of six mice were first injected IP with saline or BC 264 (0.1, 1 mg/kg) 25 min before IV injection of vehicle or 5 mg/kg of RB 101 and were placed on the Animex 5 min later. Each dose of BC 264, RB 101, and controls was tested each day.

#### Chemicals and Drug Treatment

All compounds used in this study were synthesized in the laboratory using previously described methods. The CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist, Boc-Trp-Lys-(CONH-o-tolyl)-Asp-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub> (39), and the selective CCK<sub>B</sub> agonist BC 264 [Boc-Tyr(SO<sub>3</sub>H)-gNle-mGly-Trp-(NMe)Nle-Asp-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub>] (6) were dissolved in 0.9% saline and IP injected at 0.1 ml/10 g, except for Experiments 3 and 5 in which the volume of the injections was 0.05 ml/10 g. The CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist exhibits the following affinities: for CCK<sub>B</sub> receptors (guinea pig pancreas) IC<sub>50</sub> is 16 ± 3 nM; for CCK<sub>B</sub> receptors (guinea pig cortex) IC<sub>50</sub> is 1900 ± 240 nM (39). BC 264 exhibits the following affinities: for CCK<sub>A</sub> receptors (guinea pig cortex) K<sub>i</sub> is 355 ± 120 nM; for CCK<sub>B</sub> receptors (guinea pig cortex) K<sub>i</sub> is 0.39 ± 0.15 nM (6).

The mixed inhibitor of enkephalin-degrading enzymes RB 101 {N-[R,S)-2-benzyl-3](S)(2-amino-4-methylthio)-butyldithio]-1-oxopropyl]-L-phenylalanine benzyl ester} (18) was used at 5 mg/kg. It was dissolved in a mixture of EtOH/cremophore El/H<sub>2</sub>O (1/1/8) and slowly injected IV (30 s) in the dorsal tail vein of mice. The injection volume was 0.1 ml/10 g.

Naltrindole, a selective  $\delta$ -opioid antagonist (34), was dissolved in 0.9% saline. Devazepide, a selective CCK<sub>A</sub> antagonist (5), was suspended in 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose.

#### Data Analysis

The results of the experiments were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) after verification that there was not a day effect. The significant differences between individual means were then identified using Duncan's test (pairwise comparison) or Dunnett's *t*-tests for comparison with control. To verify the dose-effect response, a simple linear regression analysis was performed. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

### Experiment 1

One-way ANOVA of cumulative 10-min data showed a significant effect in the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist experiment for dose, F(3, 33) = 4.341, p < 0.01 (Table 1). The CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist injected IP at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg, 30 min before the test, significantly decreased the locomotor activity of mice. One-way ANOVA of cumulative 10-min data showed a significant effect in the BC 264 experiment for dose, F(6, 63) = 7.257, p < 0.01 (Table 1). BC 264 increased the locomotor activity of mice after IP injection of 2.5 and 10 mg/kg 30 min before the test. The dose of 5 mg/kg of BC 264 induced a nonsignificant increase of motor activity. Nevertheless, a dose-effect response was shown by the regression analysis [the standard value of the slope (0.563) is significant, t = 5.493, p < 0.01].

TABLE 1

EFFECT OF CCK-, AND CCK, AGONISTS ON THE LOCOMOTOR ACTIVITY IN MICE MEASURED IN ANIMEX

Compounds	Dose (mg/kg)	Number of Counts
Vehicle		151.5 ± 26.6
CCK-A agonist	0.001	$150.0 \pm 24.3$
	0.01	$137.4 \pm 28.5$
	0.1	$53.2 \pm 10.5^{\dagger}$
Vehicle		$176.7 \pm 17.2$
BC 264	0.25	$174.7 \pm 35.4$
	0.5	$150.5 \pm 26.1$
	1.0	169.4 ± 16.3
	2.5	$263.2 \pm 29.9^*$
	5.0	$229.6 \pm 22.0$
	10.0	$365.5 \pm 40.6^{\dagger}$

Compounds were injected 30 min before the 10-min test period. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of 9-10 mice per group.

\*p < 0.05 and  $\dagger p < 0.01$  vs. vehicle-treated controls (ANOVA followed by Dunnett's *t*-test).



FIG. 1. Effects of the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist on the hyperlocomotion induced by RB 101 IV injected at 5 mg/kg. The CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist was injected IP 25 min before RB 101. Mice were tested in the Animex 5 min after RB 101 injection. A = CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist. C = control. Fifteen-minute cumulative data are presented.  $\star p < 0.05$ ,  $\star \star p < 0.01$  vs. control group,  $\pm p < 0.05$ ,  $\pm \pm p < 0.01$  vs. RB101-treated group, Duncan's test.

#### Experiment 2: CCK<sub>A</sub> Agonist + RB 101

One-way ANOVA of cumulative 15-min data showed a significant treatment effect for the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist + RB 101 for dose, F(11, 72) = 7.863, p < 0.001 (Fig. 1). RB 101 (5 mg/kg, IV) induced a significant increase in motor activity that is comparable to previous results (2). The  $CCK_A$  agonist did not modify activity significantly at any dose. However, a clear tendency to decrease locomotor activity was shown at 10  $\mu$ g/kg. Twenty-five minutes after IP injection of the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist, a decrease of the hyperactivity produced by IV injection of 5 mg/kg of RB 101 was observed. A significant decrease, compared to the RB 101 group, was obtained with 0.1 (p < 0.05), and 1 and 10  $\mu g/kg$  (p < 0.01) of the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist. In the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist 0.001  $\mu$ g/kg + RB 101 group, there was a significant increase in motor activity. A doseeffect response was shown by the regression analysis [the standard value of the slope (0.4514) is significant, t = 12.379, p < 0.003].

#### Experiment 3: Devazepide + CCK<sub>A</sub> Agonist + RB 101

One-way ANOVA of cumulative 15-min data showed a significant treatment effect in the devazepide experiment [devazepide 200  $\mu$ g/kg, F(7, 100) = 4.813, p = 0.0001; devazepide 20  $\mu$ g/kg, F(7, 52) = 8.422, p = 0.0001] (Fig. 2A,B). RB 101 (5 mg/kg, IV) induced a significant increase in motor activity. The previous IP administration of the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist (1  $\mu$ g/kg) significantly decreased the hyperlocomotion induced by RB 101 (p < 0.01). The administration of 20  $\mu$ g/kg of devazepide + saline did not modify the RB 101-induced hyperlocomotion, whereas the administration of 200  $\mu$ g/kg of devazepide decreased (not statistically significant) the effect of RB 101. Devazepide (20 or 200  $\mu$ g/kg) suppressed the antagonistic effect of the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist on the hyperlocomotion induced by RB 101 (p < 0.05). Furthermore, devazepide (20



FIG. 2. Antagonistic effect by IP injected devazepide (A) 20  $\mu$ g/kg and (B) 200  $\mu$ g/kg, 40 min before test of the suppression by the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist of the hyperlocomotion induced by RB 101. The CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist (1  $\mu$ g/kg) was injected IP 25 min before RB 101 IV injected at 5 mg/kg. Mice were tested in the Animex 5 min after RB 101 injection. A = CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist, DEV = devazepide, C = control. Fifteen-minute cumulative data are presented. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 vs. control group, +p < 0.05, ++p < 0.01 vs. RB 101-treated group, Duncan's test.

or 200  $\mu$ g/kg) + CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist + RB 101 did not significantly differ compared with RB 101 + devazepide.

# Experiment 4: CCK<sub>A</sub> Agonist + Naltrindole

One-way ANOVA of cumulative locomotor 15-min activity showed a significant treatment effect in the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist + naltrindole experiment, F(9, 85) = 6.998, p = 0.0001 (Fig. 3). The SC administration of naltrindole at a dose of 0.1 or 1 mg/kg 20 min before the test did not itself induce changes in locomotor activity of mice, even after pretreatment with the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist (1, 10, 100  $\mu$ g/kg, IP).



FIG. 3. Lack of effect of naltrindole (NTI) injected SC at 0.1 or 1 mg/kg 20 min before test, alone, or in combination with the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist (A) injected IP 30 min before test at doses of 1, 10, or 100  $\mu$ g/kg). C = control. Fifteen-minute cumulative data are presented. \*\*p < 0.01 vs. control group, Duncan's test.

# Experiment 5: CCK<sub>A</sub> Agonist + Naltrindole + RB 101

One-way ANOVA of cumulative 15-min data showed a significant treatment effect, F(7, 62) = 4.113, p = 0.0009 (Fig. 4). Prior injection of naltrindole (0.03 mg/kg) did not suppress the hyperlocomotion induced by injection of RB 101 (5 mg/kg). The CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist (0.1  $\mu$ g/kg) slightly decreased the RB 101 effect. However, prior administration of CCK<sub>A</sub>



FIG. 4. Suppression of the hyperlocomotion induced by RB 101 (5 mg/kg, IV) by the association of CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist (A) (0.1  $\mu$ g/kg, IP) and naltrindole (NTI) (0.03 mg/kg, SC). The CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist was injected 10 min before naltrindole and 25 min before RB 101. Mice were tested in Animex 5 min after RB 101 injection. C = control. Fifteen-minute cumulative data are presented.  $\star p < 0.05$ ,  $\star \star p < 0.01$  vs. control group,  $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow p < 0.01$  vs. RB 101 group,  $\diamond p < 0.05$  vs. naltrindole + RB 101 group and CCK-A agonist + RB 101 group, Duncan's test.

agonist and naltrindole antagonized the effect of RB 101 (p < 0.01), of naltrindole + RB 101 (p < 0.05), and of CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist + RB 101 (p < 0.05).

#### Experiment 6: BC 264 + RB 101

One-way ANOVA of cumulative 15-min locomotor activity showed a significant treatment effect, F(5, 30) = 5.585 p < 0.001 (Fig. 5). BC 264 alone did not induce an effect nor did it modify the hyperactivity when in combination with RB 101.

#### DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to investigate the possible relationships between CCK and enkephalin systems on the behavior of mice placed in a familiar environment. Previous experiments performed in our laboratory have shown that under nonstressful conditions, IV injection in mice of the systemically active mixed inhibitor of enkephalin-degrading enzymes, RB 101, induces a large dose-dependent increase in locomotor activity measured in the Animex meter (2). These effects are suppressed by the selective  $\delta$  antagonist naltrindole, supporting the preferential involvement of  $\delta$ -opioid receptors, which are stimulated by the protected endogenous enkephalins, in this behavioral response (2). An intermediate dose (5 mg/kg, IV) was therefore used in the present study to reveal a possible suppression or potentiation of RB 101-induced effects by CCK agonists. Under these conditions, the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist, Boc-Trp-Lys-(CONH-o-tolyl)-Asp-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub>, IP injected in mice, dosedependently suppressed the effect of RB 101. This inhibition was complete for doses of 1 and 10  $\mu$ g/kg of the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist that alone did not significantly modify the motor activity of mice. However, at a higher dose (100  $\mu$ g/kg), the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist produced a decrease in locomotor activity, as previously reported (1,21). The involvement of CCK<sub>A</sub> receptors in the suppression of RB 101-induced hyperlocomotion was confirmed, as this effect was inhibited by the CCK<sub>A</sub> antagonist devazepide, used at 20 and 200  $\mu$ g/kg. A slight but not significant decrease of RB 101-induced hyperlocomotion was observed after 200  $\mu$ g/kg of devazepide, possibly due to the loss of CCK<sub>A</sub> selectivity of this antagonist at this concentration (28). The blockade of  $\delta$  receptor by 0.1 or 1 mg/kg of naltrindole was unable to potentiate the hypolocomotion induced by 100  $\mu$ g/kg of the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist. Nevertheless, in the presence of increased endogenous enkephalin levels, induced by the



FIG. 5. Effect of BC 264 (selective CCK<sub>B</sub> agonist) on the hyperlocomotion induced by RB 101 IV injected at 5 mg/kg. BC 264 was injected IP 25 min before RB 101. Mice were tested in the Animex 5 min after RB 101 injection. C = control. Fifteen-minute cumulative data are presented. \*p < 0.05 vs. control group, Duncan's test.

enkephalin-degrading enzyme inhibitor RB 101, an otherwise inactive dose of naltrindole (0.03 mg/kg) produced, in combination with the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist, a significant hypolocomotion. This indicates that the interaction between the effect of RB 101 and CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist could occur by an action of endogenous enkephalins on  $\delta$ -opioid receptors.

The suppression of the hyperactivity produced by RB 101 by the CCK<sub>A</sub> agonist could occur at the mesolimbic level in which CCK<sub>8</sub>, enkephalins, and their receptors are present (43). Thus, the nucleus accumbens, which receives CCK fibers issuing from the nucleus tractus solitarius (44) and CCK-DA colocalized neuronal pathways from the ventral tegmental area (22), also contains enkephalinergic neurones and opioid receptors.  $D_2$  receptors are present on the enkephalinergic neurons and CCK receptors on neurons and terminal fibers in which the neurotransmitters are actually unknown (GABA, enkephalins, SP, etc.). Furthermore, kelatorphan (17) or RB 101 injected in the nucleus accumbens or intravenously administered produced a hyperlocomotion in rodents that is suppressed by  $\delta$ -opioid antagonists, suggesting that the effects of RB 101 are related to opioid activation by endogenous enkephalins. Furthermore, the inhibition of RB 101 responses by D<sub>1</sub> antagonist very likely results from an interaction between enkephalin and DAergic systems (2,10). Moreover, when injected in the nucleus accumbens, CCK<sub>8</sub> dose-dependently decreased the hyperlocomotion produced by local administration of kelatorphan in this structure (12). This could be due to a direct action of CCK8 on the enkephalinergic neurons by decreasing enkephalin release or to an indirect action via an increase of DA release in the accumbens (26,29). However, the participation of other structures of the brain could also occur.

In contrast to compound A, the selective CCK<sub>B</sub> agonist BC 264 (0.1-1 mg/kg, IP) did not modify the hyperlocomotion produced by RB 101 in mice. These doses of BC 264 did not change mice locomotion, whereas at higher doses (i.e., 2.5-10 mg/kg, IP) the CCK<sub>B</sub> agonist increased global motor activity.

Interestingly, BC 264 produced anxiogenic-like effects in animals placed in stressful conditions (11,13), which could be in line with the participation of the CCKergic system in responses to anticipatory stress (33). Interestingly, CCK<sub>B</sub> receptor blockade was shown to attenuate the suppression of motility in mice (stressful conditions), and this effect was blocked by the selective  $\delta$ -opioid antagonist naltrindole, indicating that behavioral responses related to anxiety are under the tonic control of endogenous CCK and enkephalins (13). Taken together, these results show that the effect of opioids could be reduced either by CCK<sub>A</sub> or CCK<sub>B</sub> receptor stimulation, depending on the specific characteristics of the stimulus (familiar vs. stressful situation) and probably on the brain structures recruited, as also shown for pain control (27,46,51). Motivational systems are often organized in such a way that they are regulated by counteracting forces. One of the opposing processes could occur through CCK<sub>A</sub> receptor stimulation. Therefore, CCK<sub>8</sub>, acting on CCK<sub>A</sub> and CCK<sub>B</sub> receptors, and the endogenous opioids, acting on  $\mu$ - and  $\delta$ -opioid binding sites, may well constitute a physiological system critically involved in the coordinated control of several kinds of adaptative behaviors.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Dr. A. Beaumont and Dr. J. Vierek for the stylistic revision of the paper, and C. Dupuis for her assistance in drafting it. We are grateful to S. Turcaud and P. Coric for the synthesis of RB 101.

- Asin, K. E.; Bednarz, L.; Nikkel, A. L.; Gore, P. A.; Montana, W. E.; Cullen, M. J.; Shiosaki, K.; Craig, R.; Nadzan, A. M. Behavioral effects of A 71623 a highly selective CCK-A agonist tetrapeptide. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 263(32):R125-R135; 1992.
- Baamonde, A.; Daugé, V.; Ruiz-Gayo, M.; Fulga, I. G.; Turcaud, S.; Fournié-Zaluski, M. C.; Roques, B. P. Antidepressanttype effects of endogenous enkephalins protected by systemic RB 101 are mediated by opioid-ô and dopamine D1 receptor stimulation. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 216:157-166; 1992.
- 3. Benoliel, J. J.; Bourgoin, S.; Mauborgne, A.; Legrand, J. C.; Hamon, M.; Cesselin, F. Differential inhibitory/stimulatory modulation of spinal CCK release by  $\mu$  and  $\delta$  opioid agonists, and selective blockade of  $\mu$ -dependent inhibition by  $\kappa$  receptor stimulation. Neurosci. Lett. 124:204-207; 1991.
- Britton, D. R.; Yahiro, L.; Cullen, M. J.; Kerwin, J. F.; Kopecka, H.; Nadzan, A. M. Centrally administered CCK8 suppresses activity in mice by a "peripheral-type" CCK receptor. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 34:779-783; 1989.
- Chang, R. S. L.; Lotti, V. J. Biochemical and pharmacological characterization of an extremely potent and selective non peptide cholecystokinin antagonist. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:4923-4926; 1986.
- Charpentier, B.; Durieux, C.; Pélaprat, D.; Dor, A.; Reibaud, M.; Blanchard, J. C.; Roques, B. P. Enzyme resistant CCK analogs with high affinities for central receptors. Peptides 9:835-841; 1988.
- Cooper, S. J. Interactions between endogenous opioids and dopamine: Implications for reward and aversion. In: Willner, P.; Scheel-Krüger, J., eds. The mesolimbic dopamine system: From motivation to action. Chichester: John Wiley; 1991:331-366.
- Crawley, J. N.; Kiss, J. Z. Tracing the sensory pathway from the gut to brain regions mediating the actions of cholecystokinin on feeding and exploration. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 448:586-588; 1985.
- 9. Crawley, J. N. Cholecystokinin-dopamine interactions. Trends in Pharmacol. Sci. 12:232-236; 1991.
- Daugé, V.; Rossignol, P.; Roques, B. P. Comparison of the behavioural effects induced by administration in rat nucleus accumbens or nucleus caudatus of selective μ and δ opioid peptides or kelatorphan, an inhibitor of enkephalin-degrading-enzymes. Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 96:343-352; 1988.
- Daugé, V.; Ladurelle, N.; Derrien, M.; Durieux, C.; Roques, B. P. Potential therapeutic applications of CCK antagonists in anxiety and panic disorders. In: Hamon, M.; Ollat, H.; Thiébot, M.-H., eds. Anxiety: Neurobiology clinic and therapeutic perspectives, vol. 232. Colloque INSERM/John Libbey, Eurotext Ltd., 1993:167-176.
- Daugé, V.; Roques, B. P. Suppression of the hyperlocomotion induced in rodents by endogenous enkephalins by selective CCK-A but not CCK<sub>B</sub> agonists. Summer Neuropeptide Conference abstracts; 1993.
- Derrien, M.; Durieux, C.; Roques, B. P. Antidepressant-like effects of CCK<sub>B</sub> antagonists in mice: Antagonism by naltrindole. Br. J. Pharmacol. 111:956-960; 1994.
- Derrien, M.; Noble, F.; Maldonado, R.; Roques, B. P. CCK-A or CCK-B receptor activation leads to antinociception or to hyperalgesia respectively: Evidence for an indirect interaction with the opioidergic system. Neurosci. Lett. 160:193-196; 1993.
- Dourish, C. T.; O'Neill, M. F.; Coughlan, J.; Kitchener, S. J.; Hawley, D.; Iversen, S. D. The selective CCK-B receptor antagonist L-365,260 enhances morphine analgesia and prevents morphine tolerance in the rat. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 176:35-44; 1990.
- Faris, P. L.; Komisaruk, B. R.; Watkins, L. R.; Mayer, D. J. Evidence for the neuropeptide cholecystokinin as an antagonist of opiate analgesia. Science 219:310-312; 1983.
- Fournié-Zaluski, M. C.; Chaillet, P.; Bouboutou, R.; Coulaud, A.; Chérot, P.; Waksman, G.; Costentin, J.; Roques, B. P. Analgesic effects of kelatorphan, a new highly potent inhibitor of multiple enkephalin degrading enzyme. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 102: 525-528; 1984.

- Fournié-Zaluski, M. C.; Coric, P.; Turcaud, S.; Lucas, E.; Noble, F.; Maldonado, R.; Roques, B. P. Mixed-inhibitor-prodrug as a new approach towards systemically active inhibitors of enkephalin degrading enzymes. J. Med. Chem. 35:2473-2481; 1992.
- Gall, C.; Lauterborn, J.; Burks, D.; Seroogy, K. Co-localization of enkephalin and cholecystokinin in discrete areas of rat brain. Brain Res. 403:403-408; 1987.
- Higgins, G. A.; Nguyen, P.; Sellers, E. M. Morphine place conditioning is differentially affected by CCK-A and CCK-B receptor antagonists. Brain Res. 572:208-215; 1992.
- Hirosue, Y.; Inui, A.; Miura, M.; Nakajima, M.; Okita, M.; Himori, N.; Baba, S.; Kasuga, M. Effects of CCK antagonists on CCK-induced suppression of locomotor activity in mice. Peptides 13:155-157; 1992.
- 22. Hökfelt, T.; Skirboll, L.; Rehfeld, J. F.; Goldstein, M.; Markey, K.; Dann, O. A subpopulation of mesencephalic dopamine neurons projecting to limbic areas contains a cholecystokinin-like peptide: Evidence from immunohistochemistry combined with retrograde tracing. Neuroscience 5:2093-2124; 1980.
- Itoh, S.; Katsuura, G. Suppressive effect of cholecystokinin and its related peptides on β-endorphin-induced catalepsy in rats. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 74:381-384; 1981.
- 24. Itoh, S.; Katsuura, G. Effects of  $\beta$ -endorphin, thyrotropinreleasing hormone and cholecystokinin on body shaking behavior in rats. Jpn. J. Physiol. 32:667-675; 1982.
- Khosla, S.; Crawley, J. N. Potency of L-364,718 as an antagonist of the behavioural effects of peripherally administered cholecystokinin. Life Sci. 42:153-159; 1988.
- Ladurelle, N.; Keller, G.; Roques, B. P.; Daugé, V. Effects of CCK8 and of the CCK-B selective agonist BC 264 on extracellular dopamine contents in the anterior and posterior nucleus accumbens: A microdialysis study in freely moving rats. Brain Res. 628: 254-262; 1993.
- Lavigne, G. J.; Millington, W. R.; Mueller, G. P. The CCK-A and CCK-B receptor antagonists, devazepide and L365,260, enhance morphine antinociception only in non-acclimated rats exposed to a novel environment. Neuropeptides 21:119–129; 1992.
- Maldonado, R.; Derrien, M.; Noble, F.; Roques, B. P. Association of the peptidase inhibitor RB 101 and a CCK-B antagonist strongly enhances antinociceptive responses. Neuroreport 4:947– 950; 1993.
- Marshall, F. H.; Barnes, S.; Hughes, J.; Woodruff, G. N.; Hunter, J. C. Cholecystokinin modulates the release of dopamine from the anterior and posterior nucleus accumbens by two different mechanisms. J. Neurochem. 56:917-922; 1991.
- Melton, P. M.; Riley, A. An assessment of the interaction between cholecystokinin and the opiates within a drug discrimination procedure. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 46:237-242; 1993.
- Miller, K. M.; Lupica, C. R. Morphine-induced excitation of pyramidal neurons is inhibited by cholecystokinin (CCK) in the CA1 region of the rat hippocampal slice. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 268(2):753-760; 1994.
- 32. Noble, F.; Derrien, M.; Roques, B. P. Modulation of opioid analgesia by CCK: Evidence of regulatory mechanisms between CCK and enkephalin systems in the supraspinal control of pain. Br. J. Pharmacol. 109:1064-1070; 1993.
- Philipp, E.; Wilckens, T.; Friess, E.; Platte, P.; Pirke, K. M. Cholecystokinin, gastrin and stress hormone responses in marathon runners. Peptides 13:125-128; 1992.
- Portoghese, P. S.; Sultana, M.; Takemori, A. E. Naltrindole, a highly selective and potent nonpeptide delta opioid receptor antagonist. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 146:185-186; 1988.
- 35. Roques, B. P.; Daugé, V.; Gacel, G.; Fournié-Zaluski, M. C. Selective agonists and antagonists of delta opioid receptors and inhibitors of enkephalins metabolism. Potential use in treatment of mental illness. Biol. Psychol. 7:287-289; 1985.
- Roques, B. P.; Noble, F.; Daugé, V.; Fournié-Zaluski, M. C.; Beaumont, A. Neutral endopeptidase 24.11: Structure, Inhibition and Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology. Pharmacol. Rev. 45(1):87-146; 1993.

- 37. Ruiz-Gayo, M.; Durieux, C.; Fournié-Zaluski, M. C.; Roques, B. P. Stimulation of δ-opioid receptors reduces the in vivo binding of the cholecystokinin CCK-B selective agonist [<sup>3</sup>H]pBC 264: Evidence for a physiological regulation of CCKergic systems by endogenous enkephalins. J. Neurochem. 59:1805-1811; 1992.
- Schnur, P.; Raigoza, V. P.; Sanchez, M. R.; Kulkosky, P. J. Cholecystokinin antagonizes morphine induced hypoactivity and hyperactivity in hamsters. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 25:1067– 1070; 1986.
- 39. Shiosaki, K.; Lin, C. W.; Kopecka, H.; Tufano, M. D.; Bianchi, B. R.; Miller, T. R.; Witte, D. G.; Nadzan, A. M. Boc-CCK4 derivatives containing side-chain ureas as potent and selective CCK-A receptor agonists. J. Med. Chem. 34:2837-2842; 1991.
- 40. Stengaard-Pendersen, K.; Larsson, L. I. Localization and opiate receptors binding of enkephalin, cholecystokinin, ACTH and  $\beta$ -endorphin in the rat central nervous system. Peptides 2:715-718; 1984.
- Suh, H. H.; Collins, K. A.; Tseng, L. F. Intrathecal cholecystokinin octapeptide attenuates the antinociception and release of immunoreactive Met-enkephalin induced by intraventricular βendorphin in the rat. Neuropeptides 21:131-137; 1992.
- Valverde, O.; Maldonado, R.; Fournié-Zaluski, M. C.; Roques, B. P. CCK-B antagonists strongly potentiate antinociception mediated by endogenous enkephalins. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 270(1):77-88; 1994.
- 43. Waksman, G.; Hamel, E.; Fournié-Zaluski, M. C.; Roques, B. P. Autoradiographic comparison of the distribution of the neutral endopeptidase "enkephalinase" and of μ and δ opioid receptors in rat brain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:1523-1527; 1986.

- 44. Wang, Z.-J.; Rao, Z.-R.; Shi, J.-W. Tyrosine hydroxylase-, neurotensin-, or cholecystokinin-containing neurons in the nucleus tractus solitarius send projection fibers to the nucleus accumbens in the rat. Brain Res. 578:347-350; 1992.
- Weller, A.; Blass, E. M. Behavioral evidence for cholecystokininopiate interactions in neonatal rats. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 24:R901-R907; 1988.
- Wiertelack, E. P.; Maier, S. F.; Watkins, L. R. Cholecystokinin antianalgesia: Safety cues abolish morphine analgesia. Science 256:830-833; 1993.
- Wilson, M. C.; Denson, D.; Bedford, J. A.; Hunsinger, R. N. Pharmacological manipulation of sincalide (CCK8)-induced suppression of feeding. Peptides 4:351-357; 1983.
- Winsauer, P. J.; Riley, A. L. Cholecystokinin potentiates the rate-decreasing effects of morphine on schedule-controlled behavior in rats. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 30:569-575; 1988.
- 49. Wise, R. A.; Bozarth, M. A. A psychomotor stimulant theory of addiction. Psychol. Rev. 30:469-492; 1987.
- Zetler, G. Neuropharmacological profile of cholecystokinin-like peptides. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 448:448-469; 1985.
- Zhou, Y.; Sun, Y.-H.; Zhang, Z.-W.; Han, J.-S. Increased release of immunoreactive cholecystokinin octapeptide by morphine and potentiation of μ-opioid analgesia by CCK-B receptor antagonist L-365,260 in rat spinal cord. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 234: 147-154; 1993.
- Zhou Ding, X.; Bayer, B. M. Increased of CCK mRNA and peptide in different brain areas following acute and chronic administration of morphine. Brain Res. 625:139-144; 1993.